arXiv:1510.00598v1 [cs.DS] 2 Oct 2015

On independent set on B1-EPG graphs*

M. Bougeret, S. Bessy, D. Gonçalves, and C. Paul

LIRMM, CNRS & Université de Montpellier

Abstract. In this paper we consider the Maximum Independent Set problem (MIS) on B_1-EPG graphs. EPG (for Edge intersection graphs of Paths on a Grid) was introduced in [9] as the class of graphs whose vertices can be represented as simple paths on a rectangular grid so that two vertices are adjacent if and only if the corresponding paths share at least one edge of the underlying grid. The restricted class B_k-EPG denotes EPG-graphs where every path has at most k bends. The study of MIS on B_1-EPG graphs has been initiated in [6] where authors prove that MIS is NP-complete on B_1-EPG graphs, and provide a polynomial 4-approximation. In this article we study the approximability and the fixed parameter tractability of MIS on B_1-EPG. We show that there is no PTAS for MIS on B_1-EPG unless P=NP, even if there is only one shape of path, and even if each path has its vertical part or its horizontal part of length at most 3. This is optimal, as we show that if all paths have their horizontal part bounded by a constant, then MIS admits a PTAS. Finally, we show that MIS is FPT in the standard parameterization on B_1-EPG restricted to only three shapes of path, and W_1-hard on B_2-EPG. The status for general B_1-EPG (with the four shapes) is left open.

1 Introduction and related work

In this paper we consider the Maximum Independent Set (MIS) on B_1-EPG graphs. EPG (for Edge intersection graphs of Paths on a Grid) was introduced in [9] as the class of graphs whose vertices can be represented as simple paths on a rectangular grid so that two vertices are adjacent if and only if the corresponding paths share at least one edge of the grid. More precisely, for every EPG-graph $G = (V, E)$, there exists an EPG-representation $\langle \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{G} \rangle$ where $\mathcal{P} = \{P_v, v \in V\}$ is a set of paths on the grid $\mathcal{G}$, and two paths P_u, P_v share a grid edge of $\mathcal{G}$ if and only if $\{u, v\} \in E$ (see example depicted Figure 1). Notice that two paths can cross on a grid vertex without creating an edge. Thus, given an EPG-representation, the objective of the MIS is to find the largest set of paths that do not share a common grid edge. For any integer $k \geq 0$, the class B_k-EPG denotes EPG-graphs having an EPG-representation where every path has at most k bends. Moreover, the class X-EPG $\subseteq B_1$-EPG (with $X \subseteq \{\ulcorner, \urcorner, \llcorner, \lrcorner\}$) denotes the subset of B_1-EPG graphs where the paths can only have shapes in X (and thus B_1-EPG = $\{\ulcorner\urcorner\llcorner\lrcorner\}$-EPG).

For any path P with 0 or 1 bend, we define $hor(P)$ (resp. $ver(P)$) as the interval corresponding to the projection of P on the horizontal (resp. vertical) axis. Moreover, to describe the position of a path we will say that $hor(P)$ (resp. $ver(P)$) **lies** on a given row (resp. column) (see Figure 1). Finally, we denote by $cor(P) = (x, y)$ the coordinates of the corner of P. If P has no corner, let $cor(P)$ be the coordinates of some end of P.

* This work was supported by the grant EGOS ANR-12-JS02-002-01. This is an extended version of the WAOA 2015 corresponding article.



Fig. 1. B1-EPG representation of $G = (\{1,2,3,4\}, \{\{1,4\},\{2,4\},\{3,4\}\})$ where $ver(P_1) = [3,4]$, $ver(P_1)$ lies on column 1, $hor(P_1) = [1,3]$, $hor(P_1)$ lies on row 3, and $cor(P_1) = (1,3)$. P_1 and P_2 do not create an edge: even if $hor(P_2) = [3,5]$ and $hor(P_2)$ also lies on row 3, P_1 and P_2 do not share a common grid edge. P_2 and P_3 do not create an edge either.

Related work on class inclusions It is proved in [9] that every graph G is an EPG-graph, and that the size of the underlying grid is polynomial in the size of G. The maximum number of bends used in the representation has been improved in [11] where authors show that every graph of maximum degree Δ is in B_Δ-EPG.

Let us now consider graphs with small number of bends. Notice first that B_0-EPG graphs coincide with interval graphs. Several recent papers started the study EPG graphs with small number of bends. For example, it has been proved that B_1-EPG contains trees [9], and that B_2-EPG and B_4-EPG respectively contain outerplanar graphs and planar graphs [10]. We can also mention that the recognition of B_1-EPG graphs is NP-hard, even when only one shape of path is allowed [3].

In terms of forbidden induced subgraphs, it is also known that B_1-EPG graphs exclude induced suns S_n with $n \geq 4$, $K_{3,3}$, and $K_{3,3} - e$ [9].

There is also a close relation between EPG graphs and multiple interval graphs. A t-interval is the union of t disjoint intervals in the real line. A t-track interval is the union of t disjoint intervals on t disjoint parallel lines (called tracks), one interval on each track. Then, a t-interval graph (resp. a t-track graph) is the intersection graph of a set of t-intervals (resp. t-track intervals). Notice that t-track interval graphs is a subclass of t-interval graphs. It is not hard to see that t-interval graphs are $B_{4(t-1)}$-EPG graphs, and that B_t-EPG graphs are $(t+1)$-interval graphs [11]. Note also that B_1-EPG graphs are 2-track graphs (use one track for the rows and one track for the columns), which in turn are B_3-EPG graphs (see Figure 2).

Related work on MIS: Approximability. The main related article is [6] where authors show that MIS is NP-complete on B_1-EPG graphs, and provide a polynomial 4-approximation. As B_1-EPG graphs are 2-track graphs, related work on MIS on t-track graph is



Fig. 2. (Left) B_1-EPG $\subseteq$ 2-track: one track (horizontal here) is used for the horizontal parts, and the second track (vertical here) is used for the vertical parts. (Right) 2-track $\subseteq$ B_3-EPG

of interest as well. MWIS (the generalization of MIS where each vertex have an arbitrary weight) admits a $2t$-approximation on t-interval graphs [2] (see also [8]). Notice that this answers the open problem of [6] about finding approximation algorithm for maximum weighted independent set on B_1-EPG graphs. It is also proved in [2] that MIS is APX-hard on 2-track graphs, even when every vertex is represented by two intervals of length 2. We can also mention [13] that aggregates and classifies several approximation algorithms for MIS on intersection graphs by introducing several parameters. In particular the authors define the notion of k-simplicial graphs. A graph is k-simplicial if and only if there exists an order $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ of the vertices such that, for each vertex v_i, the subset of neighbors of v_i contained in $\{v_j | j > i\}$ can be partitioned into k sets $S_1, \ldots, S_k$ such that $G[S_j \bigcup \{v_i\}]$ is a clique for each $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. Then they recall that MWIS is k-approximable in k-simplicial graphs. The k-simplicial graphs are related to B_1-EPG graphs. Indeed the proof of the 4-approximation of MIS on B_1-EPG graphs [6] amounts to showing that $\{\lrcorner\llcorner\}$-EPG graphs are 2-simplicial graphs, and thus that MIS has a 2-approximation on $\{\lrcorner\llcorner\}$-EPG graphs, and a 4-approximation on B_1-EPG graphs. Finally, notice that the known approximation algorithms for maximum independent set on pseudo disks intersection graphs [4] do not directly apply here, as two paths can cross on a grid vertex without creating an edge.

Related work on MIS: Fixed Parameter Tractability. In this article we only consider the decision problem $OPT \leq k$? parameterized by k. The main related result is [12] where the author proves that MIS is $W[1]$-complete on unit 2-track graphs. This immediately implies that MIS is $W[1]$-hard on B_3-EPG graphs. About positive results (FPT algorithm), to the best of our knowledge it seems that there is no known FPT algorithm on B_1-EPG or a superclass of it.

Contributions. In this article we study the approximability (in Section 2) and the fixed parameter tractability (in Section 3) of MIS on B_1-EPG. Our main results are the follow-

ing. We first show that there is no PTAS for MIS on $\{\ulcorner\}$-EPG unless P=NP, even if each path has its vertical part or its horizontal part of length at most 3 (*i.e.* $\forall P, (|hor(P)| \leq 3$ or $|ver(P)| \leq 3)$). This improves the NP-hardness of [6]. Then, we show that this result cannot be improved by showing that if $\forall P, |hor(P)| \leq c$, or if $\forall P, |ver(P)| \leq c$ (where c is a constant), then MIS admits a PTAS on B_1-EPG graphs. In Section 3, we show that MIS is FPT on B_1-EPG restricted to only three shapes of paths, and W_1-hard on B_2-EPG. The status for general B_1-EPG (with the four shapes) is left open.

2 Approximability

The objective of this section is to prove that there is no PTAS for MIS on $\{\ulcorner\}$-EPG graphs. Let us first recall that the NP-hardness proof of MIS on $\{\ulcorner\urcorner\llcorner\lrcorner\}$-EPG graphs of [6] is a reduction from MIS on planar graphs. As there is a PTAS for MIS on planar graphs, this is not a good candidate to reduce from when looking for inapproximability. Moreover, the proof of [2] showing the APX-hardness of MIS on unit 2-track graph cannot be adapted too. Indeed, this proof consists in proving that the class of unit 2-track graphs (more precisely 2-track graphs where every vertex is represented by two intervals of length 2) contains all graphs of degree at most 3 (for which MIS is APX-hard), but this class contains $K_{3,3}$ and is hence not included in B_1-EPG [11].

Our reduction is based on the classical approximation preserving reduction from MAX-3-SAT to MIS (see for example [15], Theorem 29.13).

Let us define MAX-3-SAT(3):

- Input:
  - A set of n_{var} variables $\{x_i, 1 \leq i \leq n_{var}\}$.
  - A set of m_{cl} clauses $\{C_j, 1 \leq j \leq m_{cl}\}$, where each clause is of the form $l_1^j \vee l_2^j \vee l_3^j$, where for any $1 \leq t \leq 3$, $\exists i$ such that $l_t^j = x_i$ or $l_t^j = not(x_i)$ (in both cases we say that C_j contains variable x_i).
  - Furthermore, each variable appears at most 3 times ($\forall i$, $|\{C_j | C_j$ contains $x_i\}| \leq 3$). Moreover, we can assume that for any i, the positive form x_i appears exactly 2 times, and the negative form appears exactly 1 time.
- Output: a truth assignment of the variables that maximizes the number of satisfied clauses

Let us define the same function f as in [15] (Theorem 29.13) that maps any instance I_{sat} of MAX-3-SAT(3) to a graph $f(I_{sat}) = G$, where $G = (V, E)$. For each clause $l_1^j \vee l_2^j \vee l_3^j$ we create a triangle $\{v_1^j, v_2^j, v_3^j\}$, and thus we have $|V| = 3m_{cl}$. For any j and t, we say that v_t^j corresponds to variable x_i (resp. to the negation of variable x_i) if and only if $l_t^j = x_i$ (resp. $l_t^j = not(x_i)$). For any $i, 1 \leq i \leq n_{var}$, we add an edge $\{v_t^j, v_{t'}^{j'}\}$ if and only if $\exists i$ such that l_t^j corresponds to x_i and $l_{t'}^{j'}$ corresponds to the negation of x_i.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f(I_{sat}), I_{sat}$ instance of MAX-3-SAT(3)$\}$ be the set of graphs obtained from instances of MAX-3-SAT(3).

Proposition 1 (folklore). *There is a strict reduction from MAX-3-SAT(3) to MIS on graphs $\mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. Notice that the existence of a truth assignment satisfying t clauses is equivalent to the existence of an independent set of size t. As from any independent set of size t we can deduce in polynomial time a truth assignment satisfying at least t clauses, we get the desired result. □

Proposition 2. *$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \{\ulcorner\urcorner\}$-EPG.*

Proof. Let us draw a graph $G \in \mathcal{F}$ using only paths of the form $\{\ulcorner, \urcorner\}$. See Figure 3 for an example. Informally, each clause j corresponds to column $3j$, and each variable x_i corresponds to line i. Let us now define more precisely the shapes of the paths.



Fig. 3. Example for $C_1 = not(x_1) \vee x_2 \vee x_3$, $C_2 = x_1 \vee not(x_2) \vee x_3$, and $C_3 = x_1 \vee x_2 \vee not(x_3)\}$

Let (V, E) be the vertex and edge set of G, with $|V| = n$. For each vertex $v_t^j \in V$ corresponding to a variable x_i or its negation, we define a path $P_{v_t^j}$, with $ver(P_{v_t^j}) = [0, i]$ lying on column $3j$. Notice that we already have all the vertices of V, and the edges corresponding to the $\frac{n}{3}$ triangles. It remains now to define the horizontal parts of the paths to encode the adjacencies between a variable and its negation. Let i in $\{1, \ldots, n_{var}\}$, and let $\{v_{t_1}^{j_1}, v_{t_2}^{j_2}, v_{t_3}^{j_3}\}$ be the vertices of G corresponding to variable x_i or to its negation, with $j_1 < j_2 < j_3$. There are now three cases, according to the position of the clause containing the negative form of x_i (recall that without loss of generality we suppose that $not(x_i)$ appears exactly one time).

Recall that the horizontal part of $\{v_{t_1}^{j_1}, v_{t_2}^{j_2}, v_{t_3}^{j_3}\}$ will lie one line i, and thus we just have to define the corresponding intervals. If C_{j_1} contains $not(x_i)$, then $hor(v_{t_1}^{j_1}) = [3j_1, 3j_3{+}1]$, $hor(v_{t_2}^{j_2}) = [3j_2, 3j_2{+}1]$, $hor(v_{t_1}^{j_1}) = [3j_3, 3j_3{+}1]$. If C_{j_2} contains $not(x_i)$, then $hor(v_{t_1}^{j_1}) = [3j_1, 3j_2{+}1]$, $hor(v_{t_2}^{j_2}) = [3j_2, 3j_3{+}1]$, $hor(v_{t_1}^{j_1}) = [3j_3, 3j_3{+}1]$. If C_{j_3} contains $not(x_i)$, then $hor(v_{t_1}^{j_1}) = [3j_1, 3j_1{+}1]$, $hor(v_{t_2}^{j_2}) = [3j_2, 3j_2{+}1]$, $hor(v_{t_1}^{j_1}) = [3j_1, 3j_3]$. This concludes the description of G as an $\{\ulcorner\urcorner\}$-EPG graph.

□

Notice that this construction is not possible from instances of MAX-3-SAT(4), as we could have for example C_1 containing x_1, C_2 containing $not(x_1)$, C_3 containing x_1, and C_4 containing $not(x_1)$.

As MAX-3-SAT(3) remains MAXSNP-complete [14] (Theorem 13.10), we get the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Any $(1+\epsilon)$-approximation for MIS on $\{\ulcorner\urcorner\}$-EPG implies a $(1+\epsilon)$-approximation for MAX-3-SAT(3), and thus there is no PTAS for MIS on $\{\ulcorner\urcorner\}$-EPG graphs unless P=NP.*

Our objective is now to prove that there is no PTAS for MIS, even when only one type of shape is allowed. Notice that the only case we need the $\urcorner$ shape in the previous reduction is when C_{j_3} contains $not(x_i)$.

Let $G \in \mathcal{F}$, $G = (V, E)$, with $|V| = n$ and $|E| = m$. Let us partition $E = E_1 \bigcup E_2$, where E_1 contains the n edges corresponding to the $\frac{n}{3}$ triangles, and E_2 contains the remaining edges corresponding to edges between a variable and its negation. To avoid using $\urcorner$, we will subdivide each edge of E_2 into 5 edges, introducing thus 4 new vertices for each such edge. More formally, let us define $G' = f'(G)$, $G' = (V', E')$. We start by setting $V' = V$ and $E' = E$. Moreover, for any $e = \{v_t^j, v_{t'}^{j'}\} \in E_2$ (with $j \neq j'$), we add four new vertices $w_1^e, w_2^e, w_3^e, w_4^e$ to V', and we add edges $\{v_t^j, w_1^e\}, \{\{w_i^e, w_{i+1}^e\}, 1 \leq i \leq 3\}, \{w_4^e, v_{t'}^{j'}\}$ to E'. Finally, let $\mathcal{F}' = \{f'(G), G \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

Observation 1 *Let $G = (V, E) \in \mathcal{F}$, $G' = f'(G)$. Let $m = |E|$.*

- *For any solution S of G, there is a solution S' of G' such that $|S'| \geq |S| + 2m$, and thus $Opt(G') \geq Opt(G) + 2m$*
- *For any solution S' of G', we can find in polynomial time a solution S of G such that $|S| \geq |S'| - 2m$*

From the previous observation we see that solutions of G and G' are simply shifted by an additive term of $2m$. This extra term may look too large to preserve approximability. However, the next observation shows that it only represents a constant fraction of $Opt(G)$.

Observation 2 *Let $G = (V, E) = f(I_{sat}) \in \mathcal{F}$, with $n = |V|$, $m = |E|$, n_{var} the number of variables of I_{sat}, and m_{cl} the number of clauses of I_{sat}. As G has maximum degree 4, $m \leq 2n$. By construction $n = 3m_{cl}$. For any 3-SAT instance it is known that $\frac{7}{8}m_{cl} \leq Opt(I_{sat})$. Finally, as $Opt(I_{sat}) = Opt(G)$, one obtains that $\frac{7}{48}m \leq Opt(G)$.*

Let us recall the definition of AP reduction of given in [5]. We voluntarily do not redefine here all the notations used in the definition as they are rather explicit. A reduction (f, g) (between two optimization problems A and B) is said to be an AP-reduction (of parameter α) if

1. For any $x \in I_A$, for any $r > 1$, $f(x, r) \in I_B$ is computable in time $t_f(|x|, r)$
2. For any $x \in I_a$, for any $r > 1$, and for any $y \in sol_B(f(x, r)), g(x, y, r) \in sol_A(x)$ is computable in time $t_g(|x|, |y|, r)$
3. For any fixed r, both $t_f(., r)$ and $t_g(., ., r)$ are bounded by a polynomial
4. For any $x \in I_A$, for any $r > 1$, and for any $y \in sol_B(f(x, r))$, $R_B(f(x, r), y) \leq r \Rightarrow R_A(x, g(x, y, r)) \leq 1 + \alpha(r - 1)$

Proposition 3. *There is an AP-reduction from MIS on $\mathcal{F}$ to MIS on $\mathcal{F}'$.*

Proof. Point (1), (2) and (3) of the definition of an AP reduction are clearly verified. Let us prove (4) with $r = 1+\epsilon$. Let S' be a solution of an instance G' such that $|S'| \geq \frac{Opt(G')}{(1+\epsilon)}$. According to Observation 1, we get a solution S of size at least $|S'|-2m = \frac{Opt(G')}{1+\epsilon} - 2m \geq \frac{Opt(G)}{1+\epsilon} - 2m(\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon})$. Using Observation 2, we deduce $|S| \geq \frac{Opt(G)}{1+\epsilon}(1-2\epsilon\frac{48}{7})$, which concludes the proof. □

Proposition 4. *$\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \{\ulcorner\}$-EPG.*

Proof. We will adapt the structure used in Proposition 2. Let $G' = (V', E') \in \mathcal{F}'$. For each vertex $v_t^j \in V'$ corresponding to a variable x_i or its negation, we define a path $P_{v_t^j}$, with $ver(P_{v_t^j}) = [0, 3i]$ lying on column $3j$. As before, we already have the vertices and the edges corresponding to the triangles of V'. It remains now to add the vertices and edges corresponding to the subdivided edges. Let $\{v_{t_1}^{j_1}, v_{t_2}^{j_2}, v_{t_3}^{j_3}\}$ be the vertices of G corresponding to variable x_i or to its negation, with $j_1 < j_2 < j_3$. There are now three cases, according to the position of the clause containing the negative form of x_i (recall that without loss of generality we suppose that $not(x_i)$ appears exactly one time). Thus, we create the appropriate paths as depicted in Figure 4. Notice that now, for each variable i in $\{1, \ldots, n_{var}\}$, the required subdivided edges will be created using only lines $3i$, $3i+1$ and $3i+2$ of the underlying grid. □



Fig. 4. The three possible cases according to the position of $not(x_i)$

We are ready to state the main inapproximability result, whose proof is now immediate.

Theorem 1. *There is no PTAS for MIS on $\{\ulcorner\}$-EPG unless P=NP, even if each path has its vertical part or its horizontal part of length at most 3 (i.e. $\forall P, (|hor(P)| \leq 3$ or $|ver(P)| \leq 3)$).*

As MIS is APX-hard on 2-track graphs [2], even when every vertex is represented by two intervals of length 2, it is natural to ask the same question here, *i.e.* to determine

if Theorem 1 can be extended to paths whose vertical and horizontal intervals have constant length. Let us first notice that this restriction remains NP-hard.

Proposition 5. *MIS remains NP-hard on $\{\ulcorner\}$-EPG graphs, even if all the paths have their horizontal part and their vertical part of length at most 2 (i.e. $\forall P, |hor(P)| \leq 2$ and $|ver(P)| \leq 2$).*

Proof. We will only sketch the proof as it is a straightforward modification of the NP-hardness proof of [6]. The reduction of [6] is from MIS on a planar graph G with maximum degree four. They first recall that we can construct in polynomial time an embedding in a grid such that edges of G are piece-wise linear curves following the grid lines (as depicted Figure 5 on the left). Then, they subdivide each edge of G (by adding a_e vertices inside edge e , with a_e an even integer) to get another planar graph G', and observe that $Opt(G') = Opt(G) + \sum_e \frac{a_e}{2}$. Finally, they draw graph G' as a B_1-EPG graph.



Fig. 5. Reduction from planar graph using only $\ulcorner$ shapes whose both direction are bounded. Here we have $a_{e_1} = 12$ and $a_{e_2} = 6$.

Thus, it is sufficient to notice that by subdividing even more each edge we can get a graph G'' such that $Opt(G'') = Opt(G) + \sum_e \frac{a'_e}{2}$ (with $a'_e \geq a_e$), and that we can draw using only $\ulcorner$ shapes of horizontal and vertical parts of length at most 2 (see Figure 5). Notice that for a vertex of degree 4, the associated path necessarily has total length at least 4, as its neighbors are pairwise non adjacent. □

As shown in the following theorem, it is not possible to improve the inapproximability, even if only one direction (but always the same) is bounded, and even if the four shapes are allowed.

Theorem 2. *MIS admits a PTAS on B_1-EPG graphs where all the paths have a horizontal part of length at most c, where c is a constant (i.e. $\forall P, |hor(P)| \leq c$). More precisely, we can find an independent set of size at least $(1-\epsilon)|OPT|$ in $\mathcal{O}^*(n^{3c\frac{1}{\epsilon}})$ time.*

We will prove Theorem 2 using the classical Baker shifting technique [1]. We could expect that such a PTAS is already known, as MIS has been widely studied on intersection graphs (see for example the PTAS of [4] for MIS on intersection of Pseudo-Disks).

However, as two paths can cross without creating an edge in the EPG model, this requires an ad-hoc adaptation of the shifting technique.

Proof. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a B_1-EPG graph where all the paths have an horizontal part of length at most c. Without loss of generality, let us suppose that all the paths are drawn in the positive quadrant of the plane (*i.e.* with positive coordinates), and that the underlying grid is a square of size $s \leq poly(n)$, where $n = |V|$.

Let us consider a given optimal solution OPT. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Our goal is to get a solution of size at least $|OPT|(1 - \frac{1}{k})$.

For any integers $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let X_i be the set of paths P of G such that $[i, i+1] \subseteq hor(P)$. For any $d \in \{0, \ldots, kc-1\}$, let $R_d = \bigcup_{a \in \mathbb{N}} X_{d+akc}$ (see Figure 6). Let $OPT_d = OPT \bigcap R_d$, *i.e.* OPT_d is the set of all paths of OPT which cross one of the vertical strips, between column $d + akc$ and $d + akc + 1$ for some $a \in \mathbb{N}$.



Fig. 6. R_d is the set of paths crossing one of the gray strips. We solve MIS exactly in all the white strips, *i.e.* between column $d + ack + 1$ and $d + (a+1)ck$ with $a \geq -1$.

Let $d_0 = min_d |OPT_d|$. Observe first that $|OPT_{d_0}| \leq \frac{1}{k}|OPT|$. Indeed, $\sum_{d=0}^{kc-1} |OPT_d| \leq c|OPT|$, as each vertex v^* of OPT belongs to at most c different R_l, and thus $kc|OPT_{d_0}| \leq c|OPT|$.

Thus, for each d the algorithm solves optimally the problem on $G \setminus R_d$ and gets a solution A_d. Then, it returns the largest solution among the A_d. Let us now see how we solve MIS optimally on $G' = G \setminus R_d$.

Observe that removing the vertices of R_d disconnects the graph. Indeed, paths at the left of the strip (*i.e.* P such that $hor(P) \subseteq [0, d + akc]$) cannot be connected to paths on the right of the strip (*i.e.* P such that $hor(P) \subseteq [d + akc + 1, +\infty]$). Thus, each connected component of G' correspond to a B_1-EPG graph defined on a grid with

$\beta = kc$ columns. Naturally, we compute an optimal solution on G' by taking the union of optimal solutions of each connected component.

It remains now to solve MIS on a B_1-EPG graph where the underlying grid has a constant number β of columns. To that end we write a dynamic programming algorithm that parses the input from bottom to top, and only remembers for each column the highest point already occupied by a path. More precisely, let us design an algorithm $DP(l_{min}, y_1, \ldots, y_\beta)$ that computes a maximum independent set of paths S with the extra constraints that

- for any $P \in S$, $hor(P)$ lies on a row $r \geq l_{min}$
- for any $P \in S$, for any $1 \leq i \leq \beta$, if $ver(P) = [t_1, t_2]$ (*i.e.* the lowest point of the vertical part is on row t_1) and lies on column i, then $t_1 > y_i$ (*i.e.* for all i, all the vertical parts lying on column i must be above y_i)

To compute such a set S, we guess in an optimal solution (satisfying the extra constraints) the index $l^* \geq l_{min}$ of the lowest row used, and we also guess all the paths of this optimal solution whose horizontal part lie on l^*. More precisely (see Figure 7), for any $l \geq l_{min}$, for any subset of paths S' such that

- S' is an independent set
- for any $P \in S'$, $hor(P)$ lies on a row l
- for any $P \in S'$, for any $1 \leq i \leq \beta$, if $ver(P) = [t_1, t_2]$ and lies on column i, then $t_1 > y_i$

$DP(l_{min}, y_1, \ldots, y_\beta)$ considers the solutions of type $S' \bigcup DP(l+1, y'_1, \ldots, y'_\beta)$, and keep the best. Notice that a path that has no horizontal part and a vertical part of the form $[l, l+d]$ will be considered in set S' (*i.e.* in such a case its horizontal part is assumed to be on row l). It remains to define the y'_i. If no path of S' has its vertical part lying on column i, then $y'_i = y_i$. Otherwise, $y'_i = max\{t_2 | \exists P \in S'$ such that $ver(P) = [t_1, t_2]$ and lies on column i (notice that there can be two paths lying on the same column, as depicted Figure 7).

Let us now bound the complexity of DP. As l_{min} and any y_i lies in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the total number of input is bounded by $n^{\beta+1}$. Concerning the number of branches examined by the algorithm, we note that there are at most n possible values for l, and that $|S'| \leq 2\beta - 1$ (in the case where S' contains one vertical path on every column and without horizontal part, and $\beta - 1$ horizontal paths of length 1 on row l and without vertical part). Thus, there is at most $n^{2\beta-1}$ branches, leading to an overall complexity in $\mathcal{O}^*(n^{3\beta})$. As $\beta = kc$ and the approximation ratio is $1 - \frac{1}{k}$, this provides the desired complexity for the PTAS and concludes the proof of Theorem 2.

□

3 Fixed parameter tractability

In this section we consider the MIS problem in the standard parameterization, and thus we consider the decision problem $OPT \leq k$? parameterized by k. Recall first that as



Fig. 7. Example of one of the solutions enumerated by $DP(l_{min}, y_1, \ldots, y_\beta)$. The y_i values are represented by black circles, and the new y'_i values are represented by triangles. The depicted set S' contains 7 paths, whose horizontal parts lie on row l (we consider that P_1 has an horizontal part lying on row l, even if it has no horizontal part). Observe that both P_2 and P_3 have a vertical part lying on column $\beta - 1$, and thus $y'_{\beta-1}$ is set according to the highest path (P_3 here). Observe also that y_i is not necessarily lower than l_{min}.

B_1-EPG $\subseteq$ 2-track $\subseteq$ B_3-EPG and as it is proved in [12] that MIS is W_1-hard in unit 2-track graphs, we already know that MIS is W_1-hard in B_3-EPG graphs.

In this section we prove that MIS is FPT on B_1-EPG restricted to only three shapes of paths, and W_1-hard on B_2-EPG graphs. The status for general B_1-EPG (with the four shapes) is left open.

3.1 FPT algorithm for MIS on B_1-EPG with three shapes

The principle of our FPT algorithm is to repeat the following process: locate a set of $17k^2$ paths of the instance which contains an element of an optimal solution, and then branch on these $17k^2$. If the instance is positive (*i.e.*has a MIS of size at most k) then the algorithm will find a solution after at most $17k^{2k}$ choices. Before detailing the algorithm, we need the following definitions and lemmas which will useful to find an element of an optimal solution.

We refer the reader to Figure 8 for the next definitions. Notice that a purely vertical path P with $ver(P) = [a, b]$ lying on column c is considered as a path of shape $\llcorner$ with $hor(P) = [c, c]$ lying on row a. In the same way, a purely horizontal path P with $hor(P) = [a, b]$ lying on row r is considered as a path of shape $\llcorner$ with $ver(P) = [r, r]$ lying on column a.
Notice also that if G is a B_1-EPG with a path P entirely containing another path P' then $Opt(G) = Opt(G \setminus \{P\})$ for the MIS problem. Indeed, if an independent set I of G contains P then $(I \setminus \{P\}) \cup \{P'\}$ is also an independent set of G with the same size

than I. Thus in every B_1-EPG instance of MIS we will consider, we assume that there is no path entirely contained in another path.

A subset G_* of paths is a **group** if and only if all paths of G_* have the same shape and the same corner (ie. $\forall\ P_1, P_2 \in G_*\ cor(P_1) = cor(P_2)$).

Let G_* be a group of paths of shape $\llcorner$. As we can remove from the instance any path P that contains entirely another path P', we have for any $P, P' \in G_*$, $hor(P) \subseteq hor(P') \Rightarrow ver(P') \subseteq ver(P)$. We say that $P \in G_*$ **is the rightmost path of** G_* (resp. topmost) if and only if for every $P' \in G_*$ we have $hor(P') \subseteq hor(P)$ (resp. $ver(P') \subseteq ver(P)$). We also adapt the definition for the three other shapes of groups (for example for a group of shape $\urcorner$, we define the leftmost and the downmost path).

Let S be an independent set of a B_1-EPG. Let $x \in S$ with $hor(x) = [a, b]$, lying on row r. We say that **the right side of** x **is free in** S (or simply that **the right side of** x **is free**) if there is no $y \in S$, $y \neq x$, lying on row r such that $hor(y) = [c, d]$ and $c \geq b$. We define in the same way that the left, up, and down side of x is free in S.

The **main sides** of a path are the ones given by its shape: a path of shape $\llcorner$ (resp. $\ulcorner$, $\urcorner$ or $\lrcorner$) has main sides up and right (resp. down and right, down and left or up and left).

The two following lemmas provide conditions allowing us to guess in FPT time a vertex of an optimal solution.

Lemma 1. *Let r be a fixed row, and let X be a subset of paths such that every $P \in X$ has shape $\llcorner$ and $hor(P)$ lies on row r. We can construct in polynomial time a set $f_1(X) = X' \subseteq X$ with $|X'| \leq k$ verifying the following property.*

If there exists an independent set S, $|S| = k$ and a $x^* \in S \cap X$ such that the right side of x^* is free in S, then there exists S', $|S'| = k$ such that $S' \cap X' \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $\{a_i\ :\ 1 \leq i \leq i_{max}\} = \{j\ :\ \exists P \in X$ with $ver(P)$ lying on column $j\}$ be the set of columns used by the vertical parts of paths of X. Let us suppose without loss of generality that $a_i > a_{i+1}$ for any i. We partition X into (maximal) groups G_i for $1 \leq i \leq i_{max}$: we define G_i as the set of all paths of X having their corner at coordinates $c_i = (a_i, r)$ (see Figure 8). We denote by i^* the index of the group of x^* (ie. $x^* \in G_{i^*}$). Let $X' = \{P'_1, \ldots, P'_{min(i_{max},k)}\}$, where P'_i is the rightmost path of G_i. Finally, let $hor(P'_i) = [a_i, b_i]$ and $hor(x^*) = [a^*, b^*]$.

Suppose first that $i^* \leq k$. As the right side of x^* is free in S, we can remove x^* from S and chose P'_{i^*} to get another solution S' of size k.

Suppose now that $i^* > k$. Notice first that in this case by construction of the groups, we have $a^* < a_i$ for any $i \leq k$ (as we collected first paths with rightmost left endpoint). If there exists $x \in S \setminus \{x^*\}$ with $hor(x)$ lying on row r, then we have $hor(x) = [a, b]$ with $b < a^*$ (because the right side of x^* is free in OPT). So we have $b < a_i$ for any $i \leq k$ and x does not intersect paths of X'. Equivalently paths of X' can only intersect $S \setminus \{x^*\}$ by sharing vertical edges of the underlying grid. Thus as $|S| = k$, there exists $i_0 \leq k$ such



Fig. 8. Example of a group and of the case where the right of x^* is free, and $x^* \in G_{i^*}$ with $i^* > k$ in Lemma 1.

that $(S \setminus \{x^*\}) \cup \{P'_{i_0}\}$ is an independent set (*i.e.* we swap x^* and P'_{i_0} to get S'), and X' satisfies the claimed property.

□

Lemma 2. *Let r be a fixed row, and let X be a subset of paths such that every $P \in X$ has shape ⌟ and $hor(P)$ lies on row r. We can construct in polynomial time a set $f_2(X) = X' \subseteq X$ with $|X'| \leq k$ verifying the following property.*

*If there exists an independent set S, $|S| = k$ and a $x^* \in S \cap X$ such that the right side **and** the top side of x^* are free in S, then there exists S', $|S'| = k$ such that $S' \cap X' \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Let us partition X into groups, but not as in the previous lemma. Let $X = \{P_1, \ldots, P_{|X|}\}$ be sorted such that $a_i \geq a_{i+1}$ where $hor(P_i) = [a_i, b_i]$. We create the groups according to the following procedure. We start with $G_1 = \{P_1\}$ and $i_{max} = 1$, and we say that G_1 is created. Then, for i from 2 to $|X|$, if the corner of P_i is equal to the corner of an already created group $j \leq i_{max}$, then we add P_i to G_j. Otherwise, we set $i_{max} = i_{max} + 1$ and create a new group $G_{i_{max}} = \{P_i\}$. We denote by i^* the index of the group of x^* (ie. $x^* \in G_{i^*}$). Let $X' = \{P'_1, \ldots, P'_{min(i_{max},k)}\}$, where P'_i is the topmost path of G_i. Finally, let $hor(P'_i) = [a'_i, b'_i]$, and $hor(x^*) = [a^*, b^*]$.

Suppose first that $i^* \leq k$. In this case, as the top side of x^* is free in S, we can remove x^* from S and chose P'_{i^*} to get another solution S' of size k.

Suppose now that $i^* > k$. Notice first that in this case, by construction of the groups we have $a^* < a'_i$ for any $i \leq k$ (as we collected first paths with rightmost left endpoint). The proof is now exactly the same as in Lemma 1: paths of X' can only intersect $S \setminus \{x^*\}$ by sharing vertical edges of the underlying grid, and thus there exists $i_0 \leq k$ such that $(S \setminus \{x^*\}) \cup \{P'_{i_0}\}$ is an independent set (*i.e.* we swap x^* and P'_{i_0} to get S'). □

Lemma 3. *Let X be a subset of paths such that every $P \in X$ has shape ⌞. We can construct in polynomial time a set $f_3(X) = X' \subseteq X$ with $|X'| \leq 4k^2$ verifying the following property.*

If there exists an independent set S, $|S| = k$ and a $x^* \in S \cap X$ such that the two orthogonal sides of x^* are free in S, and one of this side is a main side of x^* (i.e. the

free sides of x^* are right/up, right/down or up/left), then there exists S', $|S'| = k$ such that $S' \cap X' \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $x^* \in S$. We first construct greedily, in polynomial time, a maximal independent set $A = \{P_1, \ldots, P_{|A|}\}$, $|A| \leq k$ (if $|A| > k$ we define X' as k arbitrary vertices of A). Let S_i^{ver} be the set of paths P in the input with shape $\llcorner$ and which intersects P_i by sharing a vertical edge of the underlying grid. Let also S_i^{hor} be defined in the same way for horizontal edges (notice that we may have $S_i^{ver} \cap S_i^{hor} \neq \emptyset$). As A is maximal, there exists i_0 such that x^* intersects P_{i_0}, and thus such that $x^* \in S_{i_0}^{ver}$ or $x^* \in S_{i_0}^{hor}$. Let us suppose first that $x^* \in S_{i_0}^{hor}$. If the right side of x^* is free in S, then by Lemma 1 we know that we can replace x^* by a $x^{*'} \in f_1(S_{i_0}^{hor})$. Otherwise, the topside and the leftside of x^* are free in S. In this case we know by Lemma 2 that we can replace x^* by a $x^{*'} \in f_2(S_{i_0}^{hor})$. Considering also the case $x^* \in S_{i_0}^{ver}$, we know that $x^{*'}$ can be chosen in $X' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{|A|}(f_1(S_i^{hor}) \cup f_2(S_i^{hor}) \cup f_1(S_i^{ver}) \cup f_2(S_i^{ver}))$ which of size at most $4k^2$. □

Lemma 4. *Let (G, k) be a instance of MIS on $\{\ulcorner\llcorner\lrcorner\}$-EPG graphs. We can construct in polynomial time a set X' with $|X'| \leq 17k^2$ verifying the following property.*

If there exists an independent set S, $|S| = k$, then there exists S', $|S'| = k$ such that $S' \cap X' \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $x^* \in S$ (with $cor(x^*) = (a^*, b^*)$) be the top-right most path of S (*i.e.* for any $x \in S$ with $cor(x) = (a, b)$, either $b < b^*$ (Case 1) or $b = b^*$ and $a \leq a^*$ (Case 2). Notice that the only case where $b = b^*$ and $a = a^*$ is when x^* has shape $\lrcorner$ and there exists a unique $x \in S$ with shape $\ulcorner$, and $cor(x) = cor(x^*)$.

(Case 1) Let us first consider the case where for any $x \in S$ with $cor(x) = (a, b)$, either $b < b^*$ or ($b = b^*$ and $a < a^*$). Whatever the shape of x^*, x^* has always two orthogonal free sides in S, and one of this side is a main side (for instance, if x^* has shape $\llcorner$ then his two main directions are free, if x^* has shape $\ulcorner$ or $\lrcorner$, one of his main direction and another orthogonal direction are free). Thus, we apply three times Lemma 3 (one time for X containing all the paths of a given shape), and we construct in polynomial time a set Y of size at most $12k^2$ verifying the desired property.

(Case 2) It remains now the case where x^* has shape $\lrcorner$ and there exists a unique $x \in S$ with shape $\ulcorner$, and $cor(x) = cor(x^*)$. In this case, as in Lemma 3 we first construct in polynomial time a maximal independent set $A = \{P_1, \ldots, P_{|A|}\}$, and thus (re-using the notation of Lemma 3) we know that there exist i_0, i_1 such that ($x^* \in S_{i_0}^{ver}$ or $x^* \in S_{i_0}^{hor}$) and ($x' \in S_{i_1}^{ver}$ or $x' \in S_{i_1}^{hor}$).
If $x^* \in S_{i_0}^{ver}$, then by Lemma 1 we know that we can chose $Z = f_1(S_{i_0}^{ver})$ (of size at most k) In the same way, if $x \in S_{i_1}^{hor}$, then by Lemma 1 we know that we can chose $Z = f_1(S_{i_1}^{hor})$. So in these cases, we know that we can chose $Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^{|A|}(f_1(S_i^{hor}) \cup f_2(S_i^{hor}) \cup f_1(S_i^{ver}) \cup f_2(S_i^{ver}))$ of size at most $4k^2$. It remains the case where $x^* \in S_{i_0}^{hor}$ and $x \in S_{i_1}^{ver}$. Let c_{i_1} be the column of the vertical part of P_{i_1}, and r_{i_0} be the row of the horizontal part of P_{i_0}. In this last case we can deduce that $cor(x^*) = cor(x) = (c_{i_1}, r_{i_0})$. Thus, we can replace in S vertex x^* by x_{i_0,i_1}: the topmost path of the group G_{i_0,i_1} of $\lrcorner$

paths having their corner at (c_{i_1}, r_{i_0}). So in this case, we find an element of an optimal solution belongs the set of the topmost paths of each group $G_{i,j}$ with $1 \leq i, j \leq k$. This set has size k^2.

To summarize, if we want to ensure that we capture a vertex of another solution S' of the same size, we have to construct the set Y of size $12k^2$ for the first case, and a set Z of size $4k^2 + k^2 = 5k^2$ for the second case, leading to a choice between at most $17k^2$ paths. □

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section whose proof is immediate using Lemma 4.

Theorem 3. *The question $OPT \geq k$ can be solved in time $O(k^{2k}poly(n))$ in B_1-EPG graph with three shapes of paths.*

3.2 W[1]-hardness of MIS on B_2-EPG graphs

Theorem 4. *MIS is W[1]-hard, even restricted to B_2-EPG graphs.*

Proof. We proceed by an FPT-reduction from the k-MULTICOLORED CLIQUE problem introduced in [7], and used there to prove the W[1]-hardness of MIS for unit 2-interval graphs. The reduction we present here is inspired on this reduction and on the one used for 2-track graphs [12]. Let k be any positive integer, and consider a graph G with vertex set $V = V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_k$, where each set V_i is a stable set. We are going to construct a B_2-EPG graph H such that G has a k-clique if and only if H has an independent set of size $3k^2$.

As the graph H will be such that its vertex set decomposes into $6\binom{k}{2} + 3k = 3k^2$ induced cliques, H cannot have an independent set of size greater than $3k^2$. Furthermore, H will have an independent set of size $3k^2$ (*i.e.* H is a positive instance) if and only if one can select one vertex from each of these cliques.

Let us define an **edge gadget**. For any pair i, j of integers such that $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, we construct a gadget in H that corresponds to the edges between the sets V_i and V_j. Let us denote $e_\ell = \{v_{i,x}, v_{j,y}\}$, for $1 \leq \ell \leq m$ those edges, assuming there are m of them, with $v_{i,x} \in V_i$ and $v_{j,y} \in V_j$. For each such edge e_ℓ, there are 6 independent paths in H, denoted $a_\ell, a'_\ell, b_\ell, b'_\ell, e_\ell, e'_\ell$, that cover a circular track $T_{i,j}$ (see Figure 9). The parts leaving the track will be defined later, but these parts will not induce new edges among those paths. As these paths can be partitioned into 6 cliques (one for the paths a_ℓ, one for the paths a'_ℓ, ...), an independent set uses at most 6 of these paths. Furthermore, one can see that if it uses 6 of them, then those are the paths $a_\ell, a'_\ell, b_\ell, b'_\ell, e_\ell, e'_\ell$ for a given $1 \leq \ell \leq m$. In such a case we say that the edge e_ℓ of G was **selected**.

Let us now define a **vertex gadget**. For any integer i such that $1 \leq i \leq k$, we construct a gadget in H that corresponds to the vertices of the set V_i. Let us denote $v_{i,x}$ these vertices, for $1 \leq x \leq |V_i|$. For each such vertex $v_{i,x}$, there are 3 independent paths in H, denoted $v_{i,x}, v'_{i,x}, v''_{i,x}$, that partially cover a circular track T_i (see Figure 10). As these paths can be partitioned into 3 cliques (one for the paths $v_{i,x}$, one for the paths $v'_{i,x}$, and one for the paths $v''_{i,x}$), an independent set uses at most 3 of these paths.



Fig. 9. An edge gadget on the circular track $T_{i,j}$, *i.e.* for the edges between V_i and V_j. Here there are only 3 such edges.



Fig. 10. A vertex gadget on the circular track T_i (*i.e.* for the vertices in V_i), and the dotted lines $v^j_{i,x}$. Here $k = 4$ and $i = 3$.

In Figure 10, there are also dotted lines $v^j_{i,x}$ for $1 \leq x \leq |V_i|$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$ with $j \neq i$. These lines will serve as landmarks to describe the end of the paths a_ℓ, a'_ℓ (resp. b_ℓ, b'_ℓ) coming from the edge gadgets $T_{i,j}$ with $i < j \leq k$ (resp. from the edge gadgets $T_{j,i}$ with $1 \leq j < i$). See Figure 11 for a description of how these paths connect to a vertex gadget. The idea is that the paths a_ℓ, and a'_ℓ coming from $T_{i,j}$ go to T_i and cover the ends of the line $v^j_{i,x}$ if and only if the edge e_ℓ is incident to vertex $v_{i,x} \in V_i$. The connection between the edge gadget on $T_{i,j}$ and the vertex gadget on T_j is similar but uses paths b_ℓ, and b'_ℓ instead.

One can see in Figure 12, how to arrange all these gadgets in order to make the above mentioned connections, and no other. Note that the paths a_ℓ, a'_ℓ, b_ℓ and b'_ℓ leaving $T_{1,2}$ cross several gadgets, without creating any edge, before entering gadget T_1 or T_2.

Hence, one can see that an independent set of size $3k^2$ (hence using one path from each of the above mentioned cliques, that is using 6 vertices from each edge gadget and 3 vertices from each vertex gadget) selects one edge from each pair i, j with $1 \leq i < j \leq k$. Suppose that between V_i and V_j the edge e_ℓ is selected. This implies that at the vertex gadget T_i (resp. T_j), the paths a_ℓ, a'_ℓ (resp. b_ℓ, b'_ℓ) of $T_{i,j}$, that are in the independent set, cover the line $v^j_{i,x}$ (resp. $v^i_{j,y}$) for some $1 \leq x \leq |V_i|$ (resp. $1 \leq y \leq |V_j|$) such that $e_\ell = (v_{i,x}, v_{j,y})$. Then on T_i (resp. T_j) the paths in the independent set are necessarily $v_{i,x}$, $v'_{i,x}$ and $v''_{i,x}$ (resp. $v_{j,y}$, $v'_{j,y}$ and $v''_{j,y}$). Hence the vertex $v_{i,x} \in V_i$ (resp. $v_{j,y} \in V_j$) is selected. This implies that H has an independent set of size $3k^2$ if and only if G has a k-clique (induced by the selected edges and vertices), and this concludes the proof of the theorem. □



Fig. 11. Connection between paths a_ℓ and a'_ℓ of an edge gadget on $T_{i,j}$ to the vertex gadget on T_i. Here we assume that e_1 and e_3 are incident to $v_{i,1}$, while e_2 is incident to $v_{i,3}$, where $V_i = \{v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}, v_{i,3}\}$.



Fig. 12. Overall arrangement of the gadgets. Here $k = 3$.

References

1. B. S. Baker. Approximation algorithms for np-complete problems on planar graphs. *J. ACM*, 41(1):153–180, 1994.
2. Reuven Bar-Yehuda, Magnús M Halldórsson, Joseph Seffi Naor, Hadas Shachnai, and Irina Shapira. Scheduling split intervals. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 36(1):1–15, 2006.
3. Kathie Cameron, Steven Chaplick, and Chính T. Hoàng. Edge intersection graphs of l-shaped paths in grids. *CoRR*, abs/1204.5702, 2012.
4. Timothy M Chan and Sariel Har-Peled. Approximation algorithms for maximum independent set of pseudo-disks. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 48(2):373–392, 2012.

5. Pierluigi Crescenzi. A short guide to approximation preserving reductions. In *Computational Complexity, 1997. Proceedings., Twelfth Annual IEEE Conference on (Formerly: Structure in Complexity Theory Conference)*, pages 262–273. IEEE, 1997.
6. Dror Epstein, MartinCharles Golumbic, and Gila Morgenstern. Approximation algorithms for b_1-epg graphs. In *WADS 2013: Algorithms and Data Structures*, volume 8037 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 328–340. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013.
7. Michael R. Fellows, Danny Hermelin, Frances Rosamond, and Stéphane Vialette. On the parameterized complexity of multiple-interval graph problems. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 410(1):53–61, 2009.
8. Mathew C. Francis, Daniel Gonçalves, and Pascal Ochem. The maximum clique problem in multiple interval graphs. *Algorithmica*, pages 1–25, 2013.
9. Martin Charles Golumbic, Marina Lipshteyn, and Michal Stern. Edge intersection graphs of single bend paths on a grid. *Networks*, 54(3):130–138, 2009.
10. Daniel Heldt, Kolja Knauer, and Torsten Ueckerdt. On the bend-number of planar and outerplanar graphs. In David Fernández-Baca, editor, *LATIN 2012: Theoretical Informatics*, volume 7256 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 458–469. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012.
11. Daniel Heldt, Kolja Knauer, and Torsten Ueckerdt. Edge-intersection graphs of grid paths: The bend-number. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 167(0):144 – 162, 2014.
12. Minghui Jiang. On the parameterized complexity of some optim ization problems related to multiple-interval graphs. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 411:4253–4262, 2010.
13. Frank Kammer and Torsten Tholey. Approximation algorithms for intersection graphs. *Algorithmica*, 68(2):312–336, 2014.
14. Christos H Papadimitriou. *Computational complexity*. John Wiley and Sons Ltd., 2003.
15. Vijay V Vazirani. *Approximation algorithms*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2001.